App. F. IX-4

1938-9
(Mny)

File No. 109-38

Serial Nos. 4-5

Previous References

Mny/109-38 / 8..

Letter References.

Mny 368-40-(1-7)
175-44-(1-2)

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 240.

Part B for March 39.

SUBJECT.

Management of the affairs of Sri Subramanyaswami Temple at Ramanathpur Arkalgud Tq.

SC 5. 1444, To the Agent of Sri Subramanyaswami Putur Taluk, South Canara.

Intimate that orders already passed do not call for any modification.

1/Mr.
1-3-39

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

1

G. R. S. APP. F. III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No. 105-38

FILE HEADING

Management of the affairs of Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple at Ramanathapuram Arakalgud Taluk.

FROM

The Swamigalu of Sri Subrahmanya Swami Mutt. Subrahmanya. South Canara.

Srimukha No. 363 Dated 25-12-38 Received 30-12-38

Diary No. 766 Enclos. 5 Spare copies — Serial No. 4

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that himself and his predecessors on the Peeta of the Mutt have long been conducting the worship and management of the Sri Prasanna Subrahmanyaswami temple at Ramanathapura and requests that orders may be passed after taking into consideration the rights of the Mutt and the ancient practice prevailing in respect of the institution.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Muz 239-36-2 & Muz 105-38-3 are put up for refce. M.C's letter of 11.11.36 explains clearly how the present management of the Ramanathapura temple is inefficient, as the Swamigalu, who is in charge of the temple & its properties, is a resident of S. Canara Dt. As a result of action thereon, the Swamigalu in his Srimukha of 5/10/38 urged the proprietary rights of the Mutt over the temple at Ramanathapura

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 0283—GPB—3,750—25 1-38

2)

Re: Management of Subrahmanya Swami Temple at Ramanathapur

he was told in this office Endt. No 711 of 8/11/38 that Govt have no objection to continue him as sole Dharmadarsi provided he agrees to the Muz. officers exercising powers of supervision over the Instn such as calling for accounts, inspection of jewels etc & to the fixing of a scale by Govt providing for reserve fund, contribution to Muz. Estt. fund etc. It is subd that all this is necessary for the better administration of the affairs of the Temple inspite of all that is said in para 5 of the P.A.D. It is for the Swami to agree to the above.

2) For C.S. — Govt. have passed orders in the matter. (Vide endt. No. 711 Muz. 109-38-3 dated 8th Novr. 1938. The Swamigalu seems to request a re-considera-tion of the orders of Govt. contained in their of 8th Novr. 1938, though he does not clearly say so. It is a point for orders whether the orders of Govt. in the matter require re-consideration in view of the General Secretary's view of the case contained in para 4 of the notes ~~sent~~ in file No. Muz. 109-38, serials 1 & 3. Submitted for orders.

28/11

3) There are no fresh points now brought to

6.12.38

General and Revenue Secretariat File No. 105 of 1938 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

notice which necessitate a revision of the orders already passed. The effect of the order of 1866 has been discussed in the previous papers. It may be that the Govt did not like to interfere with the management in the past but this will not nullify the provisions of the R.C. Institutions Act which vest in Govt. the authority to supervise Muzrai institutions. Even conceding the cash grant is a grant made in lieu of the Village, the institution becomes a Muzrai institution subject to control by Govt.

4) The most that can be conceded is to treat the Swamigalu as the sole Dharmadarsi as has already been intimated to him. The Sri Swamigalu wants to be heard. The petition may perhaps be sent to the Muz Com. who may be instructed to

9

4) Re: The management of Sri Subrahmanyaswami Swami temple at Ramanathapura

File No. 109 of 1938. | General and Revenue Secretariat

hear the Swamigalu, although there is not much to hear

Gen' Secy)

[illegible] 7/1/39

GENERAL SECRETARY
1 JAN. 1939
1918

5) No grounds appear for reconsideration. If necessary, the Swamigalu may be heard through Counsel either by the Member himself or the M.C.

[illegible] 6/7/1/39

7) Yes [illegible] 23.2

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
No. 6307

2nd Member)

8) I should like the Asst Secy to bring this up in person.

[illegible] 2/1

6) G.O. 17th as directed to Subd. for orders

[illegible] 25.2.39.

9) [illegible] 1.3

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
1 MAR 1939
No. 8054

2nd Member)

[illegible] 3.3

[illegible] 3/3

10) Issue [illegible]

No. 1444 of 7-3-39 to the Agent of Sri Subrahmanya Swami Mutt - Puttur T.K. South Canara intimating that orders already passed do not call for any modification.

[illegible] 14/3

No.363
25-12-1938

Muz 109-38-4

30 DEC. 1938

From

The Srimat - Vishvagnathirtha Sri Padangalavaru,
The Sri Swamiji of the Sri Subramanyaswami Mutt,
Subrahmanya. S. Canara.

To

The General Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

29 DEC. 1938

Reference your Office No. 711/Muz. 109-38-3.
Dated 8th November 1938.

Sir,

In continuation of our Agent's letter acknowledging the above and asking for time for a proper reply we desire to submit our views. At the very outset we should like to say clearly that it is our most earnest desire to abide by the final decision of Government about the conditions of our management of the Sri Prasanna Subramanyeswara Temple at Ramanathapur. The fact that we make representations to Government in the matter do not in the least subtract from our most sincere desire in that respect.

We wish we had an opportunity of personal representation through our Agent or legal representative to Government about our rights. Even now we would be grateful for such an opportunity. We will however request again that before passing final orders the Government may be pleased to consider the following.

1. We submit herewith a copy of a copper plate grant in our possession. It will be seen from it that Yagati was granted to the Subramanyeswara Temple in perpetuity and with full rights in 1659 by Narasimhanaicka. The

Temple

6

Temple was built by Sri Viayadhirajathirtha Sri Padangalavaru of this Mutt. Since then we have been conducting solely and un-hempared the worship and management of the Temple.

2. Sometime later the jodi village of Yagati seems to have been taken over by the Government of Mysore and in lieu of it a cash grant has been made. A copy of the copper plate is also printed in the Epigraphica Karnataka Volume V Page 255.

7

3. In the order of 22-8-1866 it has been clearly held that the Swami of this Mutt has full rights over the Temple from ancient times and recognised as such. It is clearly stated that the Government have no right to ask for accounts or in any way interfere in the management or supervision. This is based in evidence of continuous recognition by Government of the rights of the Mutt as evident from Public records referred to. Those records referred to therein are:-

(a) An Order of 11-9-1834.
(b) An Order of 19-1-1844.
(c) An Order of 18-5-1854.

Copies of these orders are submitted.

The Commissioner's order of 28-8-1852 is with Government.

4. From 1659 A.D. there has been no single instance of any supervision or interference with the affairs of the Temple till the order of 11-5-1937. It is only after that order and for the first time in the History of the Institution that we have submitted accounts. That apart from further representations and delays due to Post and similar reasons we have complied with the orders of Government we have thought it best to mention this to avoid any misconceptions.

5. We may mention the fact that the accounts of this Temple have always been kept quite separate and not an anna of the income has ever been spent elsewhere. So too the jewelry and all properties of the Temple. Several Deputy Commissioners and other officers of Government are personally aware of the regularity and efficiency of the administration of our affairs both here and in Mysore.

6. Our Mutt here too has been for long in the enjoyment of the generosity of H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore. We have for centuries prayed and worshipped under the patronage and protection of His Highness The Maharaja and his ancestors. We therefore confidently request Government to take into consideration our rights the ancient practice recognised by Government which has continued upto now and our diginity and pass orders in the matter. We desire to assure the Government again of our very sincere desire to abide loyally by any orders the Government may be pleased to pass.

Yours Obediently.

[illegible signature]

8

Subordinate Judge's Court of Mangalore

O.S. No. 27 of 1886

Production on 8-10-1886 by Pltff.

filed on the 19-1-1887 as Exhibit O

(Sd)

Subordinate Judge

O.S. 27/86

Presented by the (Sd) A. Subba Rao 8.10.86.

Seal of the Subordinate Court South Canara 8 Oct 86

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Sealed) Signed C. Elliot. For Superintendent Am Du

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] K. Z. Acharya. Agent

True Copy

[illegible]

Asst Superintendent
[illegible] Division

(Seal)

[illegible stamp]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Signed. Y. Cunningham
Secretary

(Seal of the Superintendent's Court Ashtagrama Division.)

[illegible]

True Copy.

(Sd).

As. Superintendent Ash. Div.

Compared.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] K. L. Acharya
Agent

14

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	(Sd) J. L. Porter
[illegible]	True Copy
Initd Compared.	(Sd) -
[illegible]	As Superintendent Am Du.

(Seal)

[illegible]

[illegible] K. Z. Achara.
Agent

శ్రీ నరసింహర్క.

నమస్తుంగ శిరశ్చుంబి చంద్రచామర
చారవే। త్రైలోక్య నగరారంభ మూల
స్తంభాయ శంభవే। హరి లక్ష్మీ వరాం
హర్ష దంష్ట్ర దండ కృపా శివః। లు
దృశో మేల దినీ లోలన స్తంభ మున
యస్త్ర స్తా। కృష్ణ శ్రీ వచ యలా బుద్ధిద
యా గాలలవా హన రశ వసుధంగ్రు
గగుగం నంయా సం దవశర్వ మాన వాద
విశ్వారర్క సంవ శ్రుతద మా ష్ఠ గొదలు।
దవ దేల మేల శ్రే నుదే।
వశా శా వర్క చ్ఛా ము లబ ప్రుంత హాళుచ
బ్రంహ్మం డనాయ స్వామా ధ్రువరవ
రధి ష్టర। రామా ధ్రువరద। ప్రసంవ
సుబ్రంహ్మంణ్యే ష్టర స్థావా యవర విధర్క
శ్రీ పాదర దల్ప గర్భే। శ్రావర్క వగాల
త్రద లపస్యంబ సూత్ర, ద। త్రి, ప్ల ష్ఠ
నాయ శర పలుత్ర నాంద। ల స్థల ష్ఠ,
నాయ శర సూత్ర నాద। నారసింహర్క
నాయ శనా మేహా చ్ఛ భాగదానరా
సన (శ్రీ) మ వెంకటేంద్ర శ్రీ మద్రా చా
ధిరాజ రాజపరమేష్టర శ్రీ

విలర (త్రు) కాప (రి) విలర పుష్పరా
ఒణ దేవ మాతా రాం ఒణ రంఒర్య్న
వరా। వి దార్య్న నర దళు ర త్రు
సాంఖ్యా దనా దర్య్ ఖేరా గ పాప్రు
కాం ప్రా బర్య్ పాలు త్రు లు నం పల
బి ఒణ రాం దఒణ ర కేప స్పష్టనాలు
క్ష రవరగ ఆ బకాంద్రా కర్పాగ
నరనం త్రు సాలుత్రు అమారనాగ
స్నేవర సాం దర్య్ కారద వ్రమగ
నలవ మారలు రపధ ప ద ఒణ క్త
చి వాం బ నా మా నం నా ఒణల తా
త్రు ళి పాం దర్య్ కె లచళు। వర ర
ప్రార్య్ ద కదానద్రా రా పా వర్య్ క
వాగ (రి) ఖ బ్రాం థర్య్ ర్య్ క్ష్ర రా పి
రపా గదార మాడద కె ర గ అ
గా మా క్ష నలవ నధ నాఖ వ
లల పా గ గ అ ఖ గ అ నా మా ని
ధ పా దర్య్ం నరం బ అ ష్ట బ్రాల గ
క్షి బ పా మర్య్ం నరం నా దేవ కాక్ష్న
పా నా మా త్ర వాలు పా నా ది వానమ
ఒణ వి(క్షి) ఒణ క్ష ఒణల నర్య్ పా గ నరలు

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] K. Z. Achar[illegible]
Agent

[illegible] 11.9.1936

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Initd
Compared

[illegible]

Signed.

Copy

(Sd).

At. Superintendent Am Du

(Seal)

[illegible]

[illegible] K. L. Achary

Agent

1444 Mz 109-38-5 26 8/7 3 39

To

The Agent of Sri Subrahmanyaswami
Mutt,
Puttur Taluk, S.Canara.

With reference to letter No.363 dated 25th December 1938 from the -- Swamigalu of Sri Subrahmanya Mutt asking for a reconsideration of the order regarding the submission of accounts relating to Sri Prasanna Subrahmanyaswami temple at Ramanathapur, Arikalgud Taluk, I am directed to state that the orders, copies of which have been sent to the Swamigalu, are no longer in force now, as they have been superseded by the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Act of 1927. The grants made by Govt. are now subject to and governed by the provisions of the aforesaid Act and the -- rules framed thereunder, according to which Government have powers of supervision over the institution. I am to state that, in the above circumstances, the orders already passed do not call for any modification.

Yours faithfully.

Gen. Secy. to Govt.

Register Sheet No. 259

Taluk. Arkalgud

Hobli. Muzrai Register

Village —

General No.	Value of the Inam	Description of Inams. If for service, it is to be stated whether it is performed. If for buildings, tanks, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up	Tenure. Hereditary, unconditional, for life only, or for two or more lives.
1	2	3	4
44.	Annually Rs. a. p. 568-13-10. Monthly 30-12-10. Extraordinary 197-3-10. Sandalwood 2-0-0	Devadaya	So long as the o of the grant is fulfi and the ins Kept in good

~~in the Village~~ of Arsikalgud Taluk. Muzrai Sheet No. 259. in the

When, and by whom, and to whom granted	Name of the party registered in the Standard Muzrai or other recognized accounts
5	6
	Huzur Nagud Muzrai Lavajama account of 1810-11 P. F. V. Subramhanyeswara 250-0-0. Do — Do — Do of 1828-29. Subramhanyeswara Devastan P. F. V. 200-0-0. Do — Do — Do of 1831-32 Ramanathapurada Mula Subramhanya Devastan. P. F. V. Paditara Barmaha 117-0-0. Dasmaha Establishment 78-0-0. 195-0-0. Do — Do — Do of 1840. Subramhanyeswara Devastan. P. F. V. Paditara 49-2-0. Extraordinary 07-8-0 Establishment 77-8-9½ 194-8-9½ Jinasi Lavajama Jasty as per Jama Kharch Sandal Maunds ½ .. 6-14-0 Do — Do — Do of 1850. Ramanathapurada Subramhanya Swamy Temple. Rs. a. p. 566-13-10. As per Jama Kharch account of the year Nala ...... 2-0-0.

Taluk of in the District

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL OF THE PRESENT RECIPIENT

Name, place of residence, and age	Caste or tribe, height and complexion	Indelible marks tending to the identification of the Inamdar
7	8	9
Ramanathapura Subramhanya Swamy: Manager protem		

of   of the   Division

Relationship to the grantee or the registered holder	Particulars of the heirs of the party in Col. 7	PARTICULARS OF THE COMM[illegible] LAND			
		Village and Taluk	Description and No	Extent	Assessment
10	11	12	13	14	15

Recommendation of the Assistant	Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
16	17

1. Whole grant. Valid.
2. This institution is entirely under the management of the Swamy of Sumpushta Lutchmi Narasimha Swamy Mutt at Subramhanya in South Canara. According to an arrangement which has been in vogue for many years past the Jusdeek is handed over at the request of the Mutt Swamy to the Parapattigar of the temple residing in Ramanathapura and the Taluk authorities have no supervision over the establishment and other affairs of the temple neither is a list of the temple servants is forthcoming in the Taluk records.
3. I have inspected the temple. The building is in good order and services are regularly conducted.
4. An annual allowance of RS 568-13-10 or monthly Rs. a. p 30-12-10 + extraordinary expences viz Rs. a. p. 197-3-10 + Sandalwood charge 2 Rs to be confirmed and paid to the institution so long as it is in a good condition and services rendered under Rule VI.

1 Cash certificate.

(Sd) T. Sreenivasa Iyengar
30-4-79 Assistant.

1. Confirmed. —

(Sd) R. A. Cole,
16-6-80. Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

Compared
[illegible]
10.1.1939.

"Copy"
[signature]
For Superintendent of
Land Records and
Inam Settts
[illegible] 10 39

GPB—100—9.8.06